MAN 2 7 Atty Docket No. 80398.P447

2142

<u>Patent</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	,)	Examiner:	C. Reid			
David Gaxiola, et. al.)	Art Unit:	2142			
Application No. 09/981,776)	Confirmation	onfirmation No: 6683			
Filed: October 16, 2001)					
For: INTUIT MAPPING BETWEEN	1)					
EXPLICIT AND IMPLICIT)					
PERSONALIZATION)					
)					

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Office Action mailed February 25, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application, as amended, and consideration of the following remarks.

06/29/2005 EWILLIAM 00000014 022666 09

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 4 and 15-16

Claims 4 and 15-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Martino in view of Boe, et. al., U.S. Patent No. 6,236,975. Applicant respectfully submits that the combination does not teach or suggest each and every element of claims 4 and 15-16.

Boe discloses profiling customers through a web-based targeting marketing system. The system surveys a customer and associates the customer with a selected peer group. The customer may adjust their situation in the survey to a hypothetical situation.

Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Martino and Boe does not teach or suggest each and every limitation of Applicant's claims 4 and 15-16. Claims 4 and 15-16 depend from claims 1 and 13, respectively. Independent claims 1 and 13, as amended, recite implicitly refining an explicitly generated user profile. As discussed above, Martino does not teach or suggest implicitly refining an explicitly generated user profile. Neither does Boe. Therefore, the combination cannot render obvious Applicant's invention as claimed in claims 1 and 13 and claims 4 and 15-16 that depend on them. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the combination.

SUMMARY

Claims 1-6, 9-18 are currently pending. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of the pending claims.

If the Examiner determines the prompt allowance of these claims could be facilitated by a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact Eric Replogle at (408) 720-8300.

Deposit Account Authorization

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any

charges that may be due. Furthermore, if an extension is required, then Applicant hereby requests such extension.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR

& ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: May 25, 2005

Eric S. Replogle

Registration No. 52,161

12400 Wilshire Boulevard

Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

(408) 720-8300

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Effective October 1, 2001

Application or Docket Number

803 98. P447

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I (Column 2)				mn 2)	_	SMALL ENTITY TYPE		OTHER THAN OR SMALL ENTITY		B.		
TOTAL CLAIMS		ly					RATE	FEE		RATE	FEE	
FO	R .		NUMBER F	TLED	NUMBI	ER EXTRA		BASIC FEE	370.00	OR	BASIC FEE	740.00
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS		1 minus 20= *				X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=			
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS .		AIMS .	Y mir	nus 3 =	3 = *			X42=		OR	X84=	84
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT						+140=		OR	+280=	·		
* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter					r "0" in c	olumn 2	•	TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	824
CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II (Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)							L	SMALLE	NTITY	OR	OTHER SMALL	
AMENDMENTA		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NUM PREVI	HEST ABER OUSLY FOR	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
	Total	• 170	Minus	* 2	20	=		X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
	Independent	• 3	Minus	***	4	= -		X42=		OR	X84=	·
L	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF MI	ULTIPLE DEF	PENDEN	T CLAIM		J	+140=		OR	+280=	
								TOTAL ADDIT, FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT, FEE	
(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)												
AMENDMENT B		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NUI PREV	HEST MBER NOUSLY D FOR	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
	Total	t	Minus	**		8		X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
	Independent	•	Minus	***	T CI AIM	-	4	X42=		OR	X84=	
	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF M	ULTIPLE DE	PENDEN	II CLAIM		ا ك	+140=		OR	+280=	
							ļ	TOTAL ADDIT, FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT, FEE	
(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)												
AMENDMENT C		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NU PREV	HEST MBER 70USLY D FOR	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
	Total	•	Minus	**		•		X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
	Independent	•	Minus	***	AT 61 411	=	4	X42=	_	OR	X84=	
F	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF N	OUTIPLE DE	PENDE	NI CLAIM	^	L	+140=		OR		
	If the entry in colu	ımn 1 is less than	the entry in col	umn 2, w	nt "O" in c Fistees th	olumn 3. van 20. enter *2	10.°	TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	
	"If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20." ADDIT. FEE The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.											